

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/903,508

Applicant(s)

ABBAS ET AL.

Examiner

David A. Lambertson

Art Unit

1636

## All Participants:

(1) David A. Lambertson.

(2) Duane Stewart.

Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Potential 112, first and second paragraph rejections concerning claims 5-12.*

Claims discussed:

*5-12.*

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was contacted regarding a potential rejection of claims 5-12. Specifically, claim 5 required a deposit of biological materials that was previously not raised, and claims 6-12 appeared to have issues of indefiniteness regarding them. Applicant's representative was informed that prosecution would be re-opened on the merits regarding these issues.